

0040-4020(93)EO109-S

Electronic Control of Enantioselectivity in the Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Substitution of *tram* **4-tButyl-1-vinylcyclohexyl Benzoates**

Jean-Yves Legros and Jean-Claude Fiaud

Laboratoire de Synthèse Asymétrique Associé au C.N.R.S., Bâtiment 420. Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay, France.

Abstract: The enantioselectivity in the palladium-catalyzed substitution of allylic bensoates I by sodium dimethybnalonate was influenced by polar and steric effects of the substituents in the phenyl ring of the bensoate. Electron-donatins o-substituents afforded effective chiral differentiation (UD to 9O%eeL Electron-withdrawine -. substituents, t-butyl-p-substituent , N_N-dialkylamino p-substituents or o-substituents were detrimental to steric differentiation (down to 22%ee). Di- and tri-methoxy substituted benzoates gave moderate asymmetric inductions (46-*70 %ee).*

Introduction

In enantioselective transition metal-catalyzed reactions, efforts have been made to understand how chirality is transferred from the ligand to the product, in order to determine the parameters which control enantioselectivity and to improve it. For that purpose, an understanding of the overall stereochemical course of such a process requires detailed knowledge of the stereochemistry of each catalytic step and the stereochemical behavior of each intermediate. We have previously contributed to such studies in the palladium-catalyzed allylation reaction¹ by devising stereochemically biased models^{2,3} to provide insight into the stereochemical course of the process. Thus, we, and others, showed that the oxidative addition step is under strong stereoelectronic control of the substrate,² that it occurs with inversion of configuration,^{3,4} and that the stereochemistry of attack of the nucleophile on the η ³-allylpalladium intermediate complex depends on the nature of the nucleophile.35

Among the parameters which control the asymmetric induction in stoichiometric and catalytic enantioselective reactions, both electronic effects and **steric** effects have been shown to be significant.5 In reactions involving aryl-containing substrates and / or reagents, electronic properties of substituents on the benzene ring have been shown to affect enantioselectivity.⁷ In homogeneously catalyzed reactions, variations in the electronic character of the substrate⁸ or of the catalyst⁹ can govern the enantioselectivity.

We have previously reported on the palladium-catalyzed asymmetric substitution of *trans-4-t-butyl-1*vinylcyclohexyl derivatives 1 by sodium dimethylmalonate to afford optically active cyclohexylidene 2 ($E =$ CO₂CH₃).¹⁰ The regioselectivity of this reaction was generally high, regioisomeric *cis-* and trans-3 being produced in only small amounts (< 10% in most cases).

Furthermore, we demonstrated that the enantioselectivity was influenced by the nature of the leaving group RCOO⁻. (R) - $(-)$ - 2 was obtained in 90% and 49% ee from 4-methoxybenzoate $(1, R = 4$ -MeO-C κ H_a) and acetate $(1, R = CH_3)$, respectively.¹¹ These results, in combination with the analysis of the stereochemical course of the reaction, suggested that oxidative addition is the enantioselective step in the overall substitution reaction. 11 The high enantioselectivities obtained from benzoates prompted us to study in detail the influence of various substituents on the aromatic ring in the substrate.

We report herein new results which show a connection between the steric and electronic properties of the leaving groups and enantioselectivity in the palladium-catalyzed reaction of $trans-4-tbutv1-1-t$ vinylcyclohexyl benzoates **1** with dimethyl malonate. Two sets of experiments were conducted, one with psubstituted benzoates as leaving groups and the other with mono-, di- and tri-methoxy benzoates. The former were carried out to prove the influence of electronic properties of the leaving group, the latter included both steric and electronic effects.

Results **and Discussion**

1) Syntheses of the substrates

Reaction of benzoyl chlorides (commercial or prepared from the benzoic acid and oxalyl chloride) with the potassium salt of tranr-4-fbutyl-1-vinylcyclohexanol4 gave the corresponding benzoates **la-o** in moderate to good yields $(22-91\%)$.

2) Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric reactions of 4-substituted benzoates

Results on the reaction of p-substituted benzoates 1a-i with NaCHE₂ under standard conditions are collected in Table 1. A plot of logarithms of the R/S ratios against Hammett's σ values 12 is shown in the Figure. A straight line with a slope of -0.80 was obtained for 1a-1e and 1g with a correlation coefficient $r = 0.94$. The meta-methoxy benzoate 1k (see below) fits the correlation.

 $\frac{1}{\text{a}$ Isolated yield of substitution products $2 + cis + trans - 3$. b% of product 2 in the mixture 2 + cis- + trans-3, determined by GLC analysis and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. CDetermined by both polarimetry and ¹H NMR spectroscopy in the presence of Eu(hfc)3.

The parent benzoate 1d gave 2 in 76% ee. Electron-poor substrates such as nitrobenzoate 1a. cyanobenzoate 1b and bromobenzoate 1c displayed lower enantioselectivities (22, 60 and 68% ee. respectively), whereas electron-rich methyl benzoate le and methoxy benzoate lg produced an increase of the ee in product 2 (80 and 90%, respectively). *iButyl benzoate* 1f gave the same enantioselectivity as the parent benzoate 1d within experimental error: the expected benefit of the electron donating alkyl group was not realized, likely for steric reasons. Benzoates 1h and 1i were expected to be promising, since the dialkylamino group is strongly electron releasing ($\sigma = -0.60$ for NMe₂). However the results obtained with these substrates were disappointing. The dimethylamino group gave a lower ee than the correlation would have predicted. A

possible explanation would be that the nitrogen atom of the substrate 1h or the leaving group (pdimethylaminobenzoate) could coordinate the palladium (with or without subsequent decoordination of one phosphorus ligand) to give different complexes from the one ("PdBINAP") involved with other substrates. These complexes could contribute for the catalysis in a lower enantioselective way. Such a defavorable contribution would be diminished for substrate 1i, where the nitrogen atom is substituted by two ethyl groups thus involving less coordinating species.

Figure: Hammett plot depicting enantiomeric composition of 2 from the palladium-catalyzed substitution of 1a-i, 1k with sodium dimethylmalonate (enantiomeric excess range of 22-90%).

3) Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric reactions of mono-, di- and tri-substituted benzoates

The important beneficial influence of a p -methoxy group on the enantioselectivity of this reaction prompted us to study in detail the mono-, di- and tri-substituted benzoates 1j-o (Table 2). However, none of these substrates afforded 2 with a better ee than p-methoxybenzoate 1g.

The meta-methoxy group, a poor electron-releasing group ($\sigma = 0.12$) in 1k produced 2 in 73% ee. The ortho-substituted mono methoxy benzoate 1j was slightly improved (80% versus 76% for the parent benzoate 1d). It afforded, however, a lower ee than the para-methoxy compound, suggesting that steric hindrance by a 2substituent is detrimental for asymmetric induction. A strongly unfavorable steric influence was observed with 2,6-disubstituted substrates 11 and 1n (46 and 51% ee, respectively). The positive effects of o - and p -methoxy

substituents (in 1j and 1g respectively) did not cooperate in 2,4-dimethoxybenzoate 1m which gave 2 in only 70% ee. Electron releasing methoxy substituents in the 3 and 5 positions as in 10 afforded only fair $(61%)$ enantioselectivity for the reaction.

Table 2

Palladium-catalyzed asymmetric reactions of mono-, di, and tri-methoxybenzoates

alsolated yield of substitution products $2 + cis + trans - 3$.^b % of product 2 in the mixture $2 + cis + trans-3$, determined by GLC analysis and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. ^cDetermined by both polarimetry and ¹H NMR spectroscopy in the presence of Eu(hfc)₃.

Conclusion

In the palladium-catalyzed substitution of allylie benzoates **1** by sodium dimethylmalonate, it was shown that both polar and steric effects of the substituents in the phenyl ring of the benzoate influenced the enantioselectivity of the reaction. p-Methoxy substituent gave the higher ee (90%) in the product. Since the reaction likely proceeds via a reactant-like ee-determining transition state, poor leaving groups (with electronwithdrawing substituents), afforded poorer steric differentiation of diastereomeric transition structures (as low as 22% ee) owing to a great separation between the substrate and catalyst. Di- and tri-methoxy substituted benzoates usually gave lower asymmetric inductions (46-70 % ee). The lower than expected enantioselectivities recorded from the substrates **lh** and **li could be** due to modification of the catalytic species, owing to the coordinative properties of the dialkylamino groups.

Experimental

General

All reactions involving palladium catalysis were carried out under argon using Sehlenk techniques. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled under argon from sodium benzophenone ketyl immediately before use. Dioxane was dried over CaH₂ and distilled prior to use.

¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 250 or 200 MHz in CDCl₃ with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 62.9 MHz in CDCl₃. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 883 spectrometer, and are reported in cm^{-1} .

The following materials were obtained from commercial sources: $Pd(dba)$ ₂ (where dba denotes dibenzylideneacetone);¹³ Eu(hfc)₃ (where hfc denotes 3-(heptafluoropropylhydroxymethylene)-(+)camphorato); $(R)-(+)$ -BINAP.

Sodium dimethyl malonate

Sodium hydride (3 g of a 80% dispersion in mineral oil ,100 mm01 NaH) was washed with pentane (4 x 10 mL), and then dried under vacuum. THF (100 mL)was added, and then Il.5 mL (100 mmol) of dimethyl malonate over a 2-h period. The turbid mixture was filtered and the solvent was evaporated. The grey solid was stored under argon.

Preparation of trans-4-tButyl-1-vinylcyclohexyl Benzoates

The reported procedure for the synthesis of N,N-dimethylcarbamates¹⁴ was adopted as illustrated for the synthesis of **lg:** 2.1 g of a 35% dispersion of potassium hydride in mineral oil was washed with pentane (4 x JO mL) and dried under vacuum to give 739 mg (18.4 mmol) of dry KH, which was suspended in THF (50 mL). Then trans-4-tbutyl-1-vinylcyclohexanol 4^{15} (2 g, 11.0 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added dropwise at -10°C.

The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, maintained there for 0.5 h, after recooling to -10° C, 4methoxybenzoyl chloride (2.25 g, 13.2 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added dropwise. After stirring overnight at room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with ether (10 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 x 10 mL). The combined aqueous phase was extracted with ether (3 x 10 mL) and the combined ethereal extracts were dried (MgS04) and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ ethyl acetate: 9/1), and then by Kugelrohr distillation to give trans-4-tbutyl-1vinylcyclohexyl4-methoxybenzoate **lg** (2.8 g, 8.9 mmol, 81%).

Non-commercial acyl chlorides (dimethylamino-, diethylamino-, and 2,4,6-trimethoxy-benzoyl chlorides) were prepared by addition of 3 equivalents of oxalyl chloride to the corresponding benzoic acid in hexane solution in the presence of a small amount of DMF. These were used without further purification after evaporation of solvent and excess oxalyi chloride.

4-Nitrobenzoate 1a, from 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride: 62% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.10-1.30 (3H, m), 1.70-1.90 (4H, m), 2.60 (2H, m), 5.37 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.41 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 1Hz), 6.23 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 11Hz), 8.12 (2H, d, $J = 9$ Hz), 8.24 (2H, d, $J = 9$ Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 35.9, 47.4, 84.3, 117.7, 123.3, 130.5, 137.1, 138.5, 150.2,163.1. IR (KBrdisk) 2947,2868. 1716, 1607.1524, 1367,1348, 1300,1265,1120,1104,1009,940,839,717. Found C, 68.94; H, 7.63; N, 4.25. Ct9H25N04 talc: C, 68.86; H, 7.60; N, 4.23.

4-Cyanobenzoate 1b, from 4-cyanobenzoyl chloride: 71% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.25 (3H, m), 1.65-1.80 (4H, m), 2.55 (2H, m), 5.38 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.41 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 1Hz), 6.23 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 11Hz), 7.70 (2H, d, $J = 9$ Hz), 8.07 (2H, d, $J = 9$ Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.1, 27.4, 32.2, 35.9, 47.3, 83.9,115.8, 117.5, 118.0, 129.9,132.0, 135.5,138.5, 163.2. IR (K3rdisk) 2965,2867,2229, 1712, 1282, 1109, 1019, 946, 861, 768. Found C, 77.17; H, 8.24; N, 4.46. C₂₀H₂₅NO₂ calc: C, 77.13; H, 8.09; N, 4.50.

4-Bromobenzoate 1c, from 4-bromobenzoyl chloride: 55% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.35 $(3H, m)$, 1.60-1.85 (4H, m), 2.60 (2H, m), 5.35 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.41 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 1Hz), 6.23 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 11Hz), 7.53 (2H, d, $J = 8$ Hz), 7.82 (2H, d, $J = 8$ Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 36.0, 47.4,83.2, 109.8, 117.2, 127.5, 131.0, 131.4, 138.9, 164.3. IR (KBr disk) 2945, 1713, 1589, 1285, 1263, 1105, 1011.757.

Benzoate 1d, from benzoyl chloride: 47% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 (3H, m), 1.65-1.85 $(4H, m)$, 2.55 (2H, m), 5.32 (1H, dd, J = 11 and 1Hz), 5.38 (1H, dd, J = 18 and 1Hz), 6.25 (1H, dd, J = 18 and llHz), 7.35-7.55 (3H, m}, 7.95-8.00 (2H, m). t3C NMR 6 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 36.1, 47.4, 82.7, 116.9. 128.1, 129.4, 131.7, 132.4, 139.2, 165.1. IR (liquid film) 2947,2868, 1717, 1314, 1283, 1263, 1112, 1024,710. Found C, 79.80; H, 8.90. C₁₉H₂₆O₂ calc: C, 79.68; H, 9.15%.

4-Methylbenzoate 1e, from 4-methylbenzoyl chloride: 72% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 $(3H, m)$, 1.70-1.85 (4H, m), 2.37 (3H, s), 2.60 (2H, m), 5.31 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.37 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and lHz), 6.25 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 11Hz), 7.18 (2H, d, $J = 8$ Hz), 7.85 (2H, d, $J = 8$ Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 21.6, 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 36.1, 47.4, 82.4, 116.7, 128.8, 129.0, 129.5, 139.3, 143.0, 165.2. IR (KBr disk) 2952, 1706, 1611, 1283, 1176, 1108, 1018, 902, 754. Found C, 80.12; H, 9.29. C₂₀H₂₈O₂ calc: C, 79.95; H, 9.39.

4-tButylbenzoate 1f, from 4-tert-butylbenzoyl chloride: 91% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.10-1.40 $(3H, m)$, 1.35 (9H, s), 1.70-1.90 (4H, m), 2.60 (2H, m), 5.30 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.36 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and IHz), 6.24 (1H, dd, J = 18 and 11Hz), 7.40 (2H, d, J = 9 Hz), 7.89 (2H, d, J = 9 Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.1, 27.5, 31.1, 32.2, 34.9, 36'1, 47.4, 82.4, 116.7, 125.1, 129.0, 129.3, 139.3, 156.0, 165.1. IR (KBr disk) 2963,2904, 2866, 1713, 1283, 1264, 1248, 1196, 1119, 1016, 934, 854, 778. Found C, 80.95; H, 10.11. C₂₃H₃₄O₂ calc: C, 80.65; H, 10.01.

4-Methoxybenzoate 1g, from 4-methoxybenzoyl chloride: 81% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 $(3H, m)$, 1.65-1.85 (4H, m), 2.55 (2H, m), 3.83 (3H, s), 5.31 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.37 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 1Hz), 6.25 (1H, dd, J = 18 and 11Hz), 6.87 (2H, d, J = 9 Hz), 7.92 (2H, d, J = 9 Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 36.1, 47.4, 55.3, 82.3, 113.3, 116.6, 124.2, 131.4, 139.3, 163.0, 164.9. IR (KBr disk) 2951,2866, 1709, 1607, 1314, 1280, 1255, 1165, 1112, 1027, 772. Found C, 76.11; H, 8.99. C₂₀H₂₈O₃ calc: C, 75.91; H, 8.92.

4-N,N-Dimethylaminobenzoate 1h, from 4-dimethylaminobenzoic acid: 35% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 (3H, m), 1.65-1.85 (4H, m), 2.55 (ZH, m), 3.00 (6H, s), 5.28 (lH, dd, J = 11 and lHz), 5.35 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 1Hz), 6.25 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 11Hz), 6.63 (2H, d, $J = 9$ Hz), 7.84 (2H, d, $J = 9$ Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.2, 27.6, 32.3, 36.3, 40.1, 47.5, 81.6, 110.6, 116.2, 118.7, 131.1, 139.8, 153.1, 165.7. IR (KBr disk) 2950, 2866, 1694, 1612, 1368, 1286, 1187, 1106, 771. Found C, 76.52; H, 9.51; N, 4.48. C₂₁H₃₁NO₂ calc: C, 76.55; H, 9.25; N, 4.25.

4-N,N-Diethylaminobenzoate **li,** from 4dietbylaminobenzoic acid: 40% yield. *H NMR 6 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 (3H, m), 1.15 (6H, t, J = 7Hz). 1.60-1.85 (4H, m), 2.55 (2H, m), 3.37 (4H, q, f = 7Hz), 5,27 (lH, dd, *J =* 11 and lHz), 5.34 (lH, dd, *J =* 18 and lHz), 6.25 (lH, dd, *J =* 18 and llHz), 6.58 (28, d, *J =* 9 Hz), 7.82 (2H,d, *J= 9* Hz). l3CNMR 6 12.4,24.1,27.5,32.2,36.3,44.4,47.4,81.3,110.0, 116.1, 117.6, 131.3, 139.7, 150.6, 165.5. IR (KBr disk) 2949, 1694, 1605, 1522, 1405, 1296, 1263, 1185, 1156, 1110, 1025, 768. Found C, 77.36; H, 9.68; N, 3.76. C₂₃H₃₅NO₂ calc: C, 77.26; H, 9.87; N, 3.92.

2-Methoxybenzoate 1j, from 2-methoxybenzoyl chloride: 85% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 (38, m), 1.65-1.85 (4H, m), 2.60 (2H, m), 3.86 (3H, s), 5.32 (lH, dd, *J =* 11 and IHz), 5.41 (IH, dd, *J =* 18 and Hiz), 6.26 (lH, dd, J = 18 and 1 lHz), 6.85-7.00 (2H, m), 7,40 (lH, td, *J =* 8 and 2Hz), 7.71 (lH, dd, *J =* 8 and 2Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 36.1, 47.4, 55.9, 82.7, 112.0, 116.8, 119.9, 121.5, 131.4, 132.9, 139.3, 159.Ll64.6. IR (liquid film) 2947,1727,1491,1466,1310,1254,1128,1076, 1025,755. Found C, 75.73; H, 9.06. C₂₀H₂₈O₃ calc: C, 75.91; H, 8.92.

3-Methoxybenzoate 1k, from 3-methoxybenzoyl chloride: 59% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 $(3H, m)$, 1.65-1.85 (4H, m), 2.60 (2H, m), 3.85 (3H, s), 5.33 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.38 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and lHz), 624(1H,dd, *J=* 18 and llHz),7.05 (lH,ddd *J=8,3* and lHz),7.29(1H, t, *J=* SHz),7.49 (lH, dd, *J=* 3 and lHz), 7.56 (lH, dt, *J =* 8 and 1Hz). 13C NMR 6 24.1, 27.4, 32.2, 36.0, 47.4, 55.3, 82.8, 113.9, 116.9, 118.8, 121.8, 129.1, 133.0, 139.1, 159.4, 164.9. IR **(liquid film)** 2952, 2869, 1717, 1586, 1485, 1466, 1284, 1229, 1100, 1044, 755. Found C, 75.93; H, 8.90. C₂₀H₂₈O₃ calc: C, 75.91; H, 8.92.

2,6-Dimethoxybenzoate 11, from 2,6-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride: 62% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 (3H, m), 1.60-1.85 (4H, m), 2.55 OH, m), 3.77 (6H, s). 5.36 (HI, dd, *J =* 11 and lHz), 5.48 (IH, dd, $J = 18$ and 1Hz), 6.28 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 11Hz), 6.50 (2H, d, $J = 9$ Hz), 7.21 (1H, t, $J = 9$ Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 36.2, 47.3, 55.9, 83.5, 104.0, 114.5, 116.5, 130.3, 139.0, 157.1, 164.9. IR (KBr disk): 2942, 2866, 1732, 1597, 1473, 1432, 1364, 1291, 1255, 1113, 1072, 1019, 925, 902, 789, 736. Found C, 73.01; H, 8.78. C21H3004 talc: C, 72.80; H, 8.73.

2,4-Dimethoxybenzoate 1m, from 2,4-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride: 61% yield. ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 (3H, m), 1.60-1.80 (4H, m), 2.60 (2H, m), 3.80 (3H, s), 3.84 (3H, s), 5.29 (1H, dd, $J = 11$ and 1Hz), 5.37 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 1Hz), 6.25 (1H, dd, $J = 18$ and 11Hz), 6.40-6.50 (2H, m), 7.78 (1H, d, $J = 9$ Hz), ¹³C NMR 6 24.1, 27.5, 32.2, 36.1, 47.4, 55.4, 55.8, 82.1, 98.9, 104.2, 113.6, 116.5, 133.6, 139.6, 161.4, 163.8, 164.0. IR (liquid film): 2945,2868,1721,1608,1578,1465,1414,1254,1211,1163,1128,1077, 1029. Found C, 72.88; H, 8.58. C₂₁H₃₀O₄ calc: C, 72.80; H, 8.73.

2,4,6-Trimethoxybenzoate **1n**, from 2,4,6-trimethoxybenzoic acid: 22% yield.¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.10-1.30 (3H, m), 1.60-1.85 (4H, m), 2.55 (2H, m), 3.77 (6H, s), 3.79 (3H, s), 5.33 (1H, dd, *J* = 11 and 1Hz), 5.45 (lH, dd, *J = 18* and IHz), 6.05 (2H, s), 6.26 (IH, dd, *J =* 18 and **11H2).** 13C NMR 6 24.1,27.5,32.3,36.3, 47.4, 55.4, 56.0, 83.2, 90.7, 107.7, 116.4, 139.2, 158.4, 162.0, 164.9. IR (KBr disk): 2954, 1721, 1611, 1591, 1461,1416,1274,1258,1230,1207,1161,1130,1098,1048.

3,4,5-Trimethoxybenzoate 1o, from 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoyl chloride: 79% yield.¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 1.05-1.30 (3H, m), 1.65-1.85 (4H, m), 2.55 (2H, m), 3.87 (6H + 3H, 2 s), 5.34 (1H, dd, *J* = 11 and 1Hz), 5.39 (lH, dd, *J =* 18 and lHz),.6.24 ppm (lH, dd, *J = 18* and 1 lH2), 7.23 (2H, s). 13C NMR 6 24.1,27.5, 32.1, 36.0,47.4,56.0,60.8, 82.8, 106.6, 116.9, 126.7. 139.0, 141.8, 152.7, 164.6. IR (KBr disk): 2949, 1701, 1588, 1463, 1413, 1339, 1229, 1184, 1127, 1024, 951. Found C, 70.02; H, 8.38. C₂₂H₃₂O₅ calc: C, 70.18; H, 8.57.

General Procedure for Palladium-Catalyzed Reactions

A typical reaction procedure is as follows: a mixture of $Pd(dba)$ (11.5 mg, 0.02 mmol) and (+)-BINAP (12.5 mg, 0.02 mmol) was stirred for 0.25 h in 0.5 mL of dioxane. frans-4-tButyI-1-vinylcyclohexyl benzoate Id (161 mg, 0.56 mmol) in dioxane (1 mL) was then added by syringe. After stirring for 0.25 h , the solution was added to a stirred suspension of sodium dimethyl malonate (200 mg, 1.30 mmol) in dioxane (0.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, then diluted with ether (10 mL) and the organic phase washed with 2×10 mL of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. The combined aqueous phases were extracted with ether $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and the combined ethereal extracts were dried $(MgSO₄)$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate: 9/1), then by Kugelrohr distillation to give a mixture of 2 and cis- + *trans*-3 in a 95 : 4 : 1 ratio (127.5 mg, 77% yield): $\lceil \alpha \rceil_{0}^{20} - 11.35^{\circ} \pm 0.30^{\circ}$ (c 2.89, toluene), corresponding to $\alpha|_{0}^{20}$ -11.95 $^{\circ}$ to 0.32° (c 2.89, toluene) for pure 2: ee 76% + 4%. Examination of the ¹H NMR spectrum in the presence of Eu(hfc)₃ gave 74% \pm 5%. 2: ¹H NMR δ 0.85 (9H, s), 0.90-1.20 (3H, m), 1.55-1.75 (lH, m), 1.75-l-95 (2H, m), 2.00 (lH, d), 2.20 (lH, d). 2.45-2.75 (3H, m), 3.4 (lH, t, *J =* 8Hz), 3.72 (6H, s), 5.00 (1H, t, $J = 8$ Hz). ¹³C NMR δ 26.8, 27.5, 28.3, 28.4, 29.1, 32.3, 36.9, 48.2, 52.1, 52.3, 52.4, 115.6, 143.1, 169.50, 169.53. IR (liquid film): 2951, 2867, 1755, 1741, 1437, 1365, 1338, 1233, 1149. Found C, 69.08; H, 9.52. C17H2804 talc: C, 68.88; H, 9.52.

References and notes

- 1) Trost, B.M.; Verhoeven, T.R. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1378,100.3435-3443.** Auburn, P.R.; Mackenzie, P.B.; Bosnich, B. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1985.107,2033-2046. Mackenzie, P.B.; Whelan, J.; Bosnich,B. *J.* Am. **Chem. Sot. lPg5,107,2046-2054.** Tsuji, J. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1986,58,869-878. Hayashi, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Hagihara, T.J. *Org. Ghem.* 1986,51,723-727. Consiglio, G.; Waymouth, R.M. *Chem. Rev.* 1989,89,257-276. Fiaud. J.-C. "Enantioselective palhtdium-catalyzed allylation" in Metal *promoted* $selectivity$ in organic synthesis, Noels, A.F. et al Eds, Kluwer, 1991, 107-131. Granberg, K.; Bäckvall, J-E. *J.* Am. **Chem. Sot.** 1992,114,68584863. Frost, C.G.; Howarth, J.; Williams, J.M.J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1992,3, 1089-l 122.*
- 2) Fiaud, J.-C.; Aribi-Zouioueche, L. *J. Chem. S.oc, Chem. Commun.*, **1986**, 390-392.
- **3)** Fiaud, J.-C.; Legros, J.-Y. *J. Org.* Chem. 1987,52, 1907-1911.
- **41** Hayashi, T.; Hagihara, T.; Konishi, M.; Kumada, *M. J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1983, IOS, '7767-7768.*
- **5)** Trost. B.M.; Verhoeven, T.R. *J. Org. Chem.* 1976,41,3215-3216. Trost, B.M.; Keinan, *Tetrahedron L&t.* 1980, 21, 2595-2598. Bäckvall, J.E.; Nordberg, R.E.; Björkman, E.E.; Moberg, C. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1980,943-944. B&&all, J.E.; Nordberg, R.E. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* 1981,103,4959-4960. Keinan, E., Greenspoon, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 241-244. Fiaud, J-C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. *Commun.* **1983**, 1055-1056.
- **6)** Kagan, H.B.; Fiaud, J.-C. "New Approaches in Asymmetric Synthesis" in Topics in Sereochemistry, **Eliel ,** E.L.; Allinger, N. Eds, Interscience, New York, N.Y. 1978.10, 175-285.
- **71** Kunieda, N.; Nokami, J.; Kinoshita, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1992, 65, 526-529. Kunieda, N.; Nakanishi, T.; Kinoshita, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1989, 62, 2229-2234, and references cited herein.
- **8)** Naruta, Y.; Tani, F.; Ishihara, N.; Maruyama, K. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1991,113,6865-6872.
- **9)** Hughes, D.L.; Dolling, U.H.; Ryan, K.M.; Shoenewaldt, E.F.; Graboski, E.J.J. *J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52,4745-4752.* Jacobsen, E.N.; Zhang, W.; Giiler, M.L. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1991,113,6703-6704. Nishiyama, H.; Yamaguchi,S.; Kondo, M.; Itoh, K. *J. Org. Chem.* 1992,57,4306-4309.
- **10)** Fiaud, J.-C.; Legros, J.-Y. *Tetrahedron L&t. 1988,29,2959-2962.* ibid., *J. Organometal. Chem.,* **1989,** 370, 383-39
- 11) Fiaud, J.-C.; Legros, J.-Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, 55, 4840-4846.
- **12)** Jaffe, H.H. Chem.Rev. 1953,53,191-263.
- **13)** Pd(dba)2 was also prepared according to a reported procedure: Maitlis, P.M. *Inorganic Synthesis, 1977, 17, 1135.*
- **14)** Overman, L.E.; Campbell, C.B.; Knoll, F.M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1978**, *100*, 4822-
- 15) Ouellette, R.J.; Litpak, K.; Booth, G.E. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1966**, 31, 546-54

(Received 19 May 1993)